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#### Abstract

We determine the asymptotic eigenvalue behaviour of integral operators generated by positive definite kernels satisfying an integrated Hölder condition on metric compacta. We also show that this behaviour is the best possible. s"1990 Academic Press, Inc.


## Introduction

The aim of this paper is to determine the asymptotic eigenvalue behaviour of integral operators in $L_{2}(X, \mu)$ whose kernels are positive definite and satisfy a certain Hölder-continuity condition. Here $X$ is a compact metric space and $\mu$ is a finite Borel measure on $X$.

The study of integral operators over compact metric spaces was initiated by a problem posed by Pietsch at the sixth Polish-GDR seminar on "Gcometry of Banach Spaces and Operator Ideals" (Georgenthal, 1984). Since then several papers have appeared dealing with operators of this kind, see $[5,6,1]$ and also the forthcoming monograph [2]. The obtained cigenvalue results reflect compactness properties of the underlying space $X$ expressed in terms of its entropy numbers ( $\left(\delta_{n}(X)\right)$. Moreover, it turned out

[^0]that there are connections between these eigenvalue results and dimension theory of compact metric spaces, see [1].

In all these papers continuity of the kernel in both variables and $\alpha$-Hölder continuity in one variable is assumed. We consider here weaker integrated $\alpha$-Hölder conditions and do not require global continuity.

In the one-dimensional case, i.e., $X=[0,1]$ and $\mu=$ Lebesgue measure, this problem was first considered by Reade [9]. He showed that the decay of the eigenvalues of such a positive integral operator is of order $O\left(n^{-x}{ }^{1}\right)$. Later on, Cochran and Lukas [3] extended this result to the case when a higher-order derivative of the kernel satisfies that Hölder condition. They also gave a new proof of Reade's result. But in both of these papers the additional assumption of global continuity of the kernel is necessary for the methds of proof.

Thus, even in this one-dimensional case, we improve the known result since we do not require global continuity of the kernel, which seems a bit artificial in connection with integrated Hölder conditions.

The general approach that we develop allows us to obtain as an immediate consequence a generalization of Reade's result to the multidimensional case of bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. The procedure we use alsc works for arbitrary Borel measures and not only the Lebesgue measure.

Nevertheless, the basic idea of our proof is not new. One can find it in several papers by different authors (in particular, in [9, 3]). We first approximate the integral operator by a finite rank operator such that the difference is still a positive operator in $L_{2}(X, \mu)$, and then we estimate from above the trace of this difference.

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section we fix notation and give some preliminaries. In the second section we prove the eigenvalue result, and in the third one we show that it is optimal in general. In the final fourth section we construct some examples of compact metric spaces with regular entropy behaviour. Thus we illustrate that the condition $\varepsilon_{2 n}(X) \simeq \varepsilon_{n}(X)$, which we need for our results, is quite natural and is satisfied for many compact spaces.

## 1. Preliminaries

In what follows, we designate by $X$ a compact metric space and by $d$ its metric. The symbol $B(x, \varepsilon)$ stands for the open ball of radius $\varepsilon>0$ with centre $x \in X$

$$
B(x, \varepsilon)=\{y \in X: d(x, y)<\varepsilon\} .
$$

Given a set $A \subseteq X$,

$$
\operatorname{diam}(A)=\sup \{d(x, y): x, y \in A\},
$$

denotes its diameter. We say that the set $A$ is $\varepsilon$-distant, if $d(x, y) \geqq \varepsilon$ for all $x, y \in A, x \neq y$.

The entropy numbers $\left(\varepsilon_{n}(X)\right)$ of $X$ are given by $\varepsilon_{n}(X)=\inf \{\varepsilon>0$ : there are $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in X$ with $\left.X=\bigcup_{i-1}^{n} B\left(x_{i}, \varepsilon\right)\right\}$ (see [5]). Note that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n}(X)=0$ is equivalent to precompactness of $X$ (and therefore to compactness if $X$ is complete). Thus the rate of decay of $\varepsilon_{n}(X)$ as $n \rightarrow x$ can be considered as a measure for the "degree of compactness" of $X$.

By a partition of $X$ we mean a finite family $A$ of disjoint Borel sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}=X$. The diameter of $\mathscr{A}$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{diam}(\mathscr{A})=\sup \{\operatorname{diam}(A): A \in \mathscr{A}\}
$$

We say that the partition $\mathscr{A}$ is finer than the partition $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{A}$ 人 $<B)$ if cach $A \in \mathscr{A}$ is contained in some $B \in \mathscr{B}$. Given any two partitions $\mathscr{A}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{Q}_{2}$, there always exists a partition $\mathscr{A}$ finer than these two, c.g.,

$$
\mathscr{A}=\left\{A_{1} \cap A_{2}: A_{i} \in \mathscr{A} \mathscr{A}_{i}\right\} .
$$

For $0<x \leqq 1$, the space of $x$-Hölder continuous functions is defined as

$$
C^{x}(X)=\left\{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}: f \text { is continuous and }\left|f_{\mathrm{i}}\right| c=<\infty\right\}
$$

where

$$
|f|_{C^{x}}^{\prime}=\max \left\{\sup _{x \in X}|f(x)|, \sup _{\substack{x, x \in x \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{d(x, y)^{x}}\right\} .
$$

Let $\mu$ be any finite Borel measure on $X$. We recall that every finite Borel measure $\mu$ on a compact metric space $X$ is regular in the sense that for any Borel set $E \subseteq X$ we have

$$
\mu(E)=\inf \{\mu(G): G \text { is open and } E \subseteq G\}
$$

see, e.g., [4].
We shall work with the class of kernels $L_{1}\left(X, \mu ; C^{x}(X)\right)$ formed by all Borel measurable kernels $K: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the norm

$$
\|K\|_{L_{1}\left(c^{2}\right)}=\int_{x}\|K(t, \cdot)\|_{r^{x}} d \mu(t)
$$

is finite.

Note that if $K \in L_{1}\left(X, \mu ; C^{x}(X)\right)$, then there is a non-negative function $L \in L_{1}(X, \mu)$ such that

$$
|K(t, x)-K(t, y)| \leqq L(t) d(x, y)^{x} \quad \text { for all } \quad t, x, y \in X
$$

For $K \in L_{1}\left(X, \mu ; C^{x}(X)\right)$, the integral operator with kernel $K$ and measure $\mu$ is defined as

$$
T_{K, \mu} f(x)=\int_{x} K(x, y) f(y) d \mu(y)
$$

for $f \in L_{1}(X, \mu)$ and $x \in X$.
Subsequently, we are only interested in positive definite kernels, thus we always assume that $K$ is Hermitian, i.e.,

$$
K(x, y)=\overline{K(y, x)} \quad \text { for all } \quad x, y \in X
$$

It is easily checked that the integral operator $T_{K, \mu}$ associated to such a kernel $K \in L_{1}\left(X, \mu ; C^{\alpha}(X)\right)$ defines a bounded operator in $L_{1}(X, \mu)$ and also in $L_{\infty}(X, \mu)$. Consequently, by the well-known Ricsz-Thorin theorem, the operator

$$
T_{\kappa, \mu}: L_{2}(X, \mu) \rightarrow L_{2}(X, \mu)
$$

is bounded.
Assume now that $H$ is a (complex) Hilbert space and $S \in \mathscr{L}(H, H)$ is a compact operator. We denote by $\left(\hat{\lambda}_{n}(S)\right)$ the sequence of all eigenvalues of $S$ counted according to their algebraic multiplicities and ordered with respect to decreasing absolute values,

$$
\left|\hat{\lambda}_{1}(S)\right| \geqq\left|\lambda_{2}(S)\right| \geqq \cdots \geqq .
$$

If $S$ has less than $n$ eigenvalues, then we set

$$
i_{n}(S)=\lambda_{n-1}(S)=\cdots=0 .
$$

The singular numbers of $S$ are defined as

$$
s_{n}(S)=i_{n}\left(\left[S^{*} S\right]^{1 / 2}\right) .
$$

Clearly,

$$
s_{n}(S)=0 \quad \text { if } \quad \operatorname{rank}(S)<n
$$

Moreover, it holds

$$
s_{n+m-1}\left(S_{1}+S_{2}\right) \leqq s_{n}\left(S_{1}\right)+s_{m}\left(S_{2}\right)
$$

sce, e.g., [8].

An operator $S \in \mathscr{L}(H, H)$ is called positive if

$$
(S h, h) \geqq 0 \quad \text { for all } \quad h \in H .
$$

A Hermitian kernel $K$ is called positive definite if $T_{K . \mu}$ is a positive operator in $L_{2}(X, \mu)$.

As usual, given two sequences $\left(a_{n}\right),\left(b_{n}\right)$ of positive real numbers, we write $a_{n}=O_{n}\left(b_{n}\right)$ if $a_{n} \leqq c b_{n}$ for some $c>0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, while $a_{n} \simeq b_{n}$ means that both $a_{n}=O\left(b_{n}\right)$ and $b_{n}=O\left(a_{n}\right)$. Moreover. we write $a_{n}=o\left(b_{n}\right)$ if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}, a_{n i} / b_{n}=0$.

## 2. Eigenvaldy Results

Before we can prove our main theorem we still nced an auxiliary result which follows easily from elementary measure theory.

Lemma 1. Let $X$ be a compact metric space and let $d_{n}>2 \varepsilon_{n}(X)$ with $\lim _{n-\times \times} d_{n}=0$. Then there are partitions. $\mathscr{d}_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ of $X$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following properties are satisfied:
(1) $\operatorname{card}\left(\mathscr{\mathscr { A }}_{n}\right) \leqq n$ and $\operatorname{diam}\left(\cdot \mathscr{A}_{n}\right) \leqq d_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{B}_{n+1} \prec \mathscr{B}_{n}<\mathscr{A} A_{n} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if we define

$$
G_{n}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{\chi_{B}: B \in \mathscr{Z}_{n}\right\},
$$

then
(3) For any finite Borel measure $\mu$ on $X, \bigcup_{n=1}^{*} G_{n}$ is dense in the Hilbert space $L_{2}(X, \mu)$.

Proof. We start by constructing the partitions $\mathscr{A}_{n}$. Since $r_{n}:=$ $d_{n} / 2>\varepsilon_{n}(X)$, it follows from the definition of the entropy numbers that there exist $n$ balls $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}$ of radius $r_{n}$ that cover $X$. Setting

$$
A_{1}:=B_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{i}:=B_{i} \backslash \bigcup_{i<i} A_{j} \quad \text { for } \quad i=2, \ldots, n,
$$

we get a partition $\mathscr{A}_{n}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\}$ of $X$ with property (1).
Next we find by induction the partitions $\mathscr{S}_{n}$. For $n=1$ we take $\mathscr{S}_{1}:=. \mathscr{A}_{1}$. If the partitions $\mathscr{Z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathscr{O}_{n}$ have been already constructed, then we take as $\mathscr{B}_{n+1}$ any finite partition which is both finer than $\mathscr{A}_{n+1}$ and $\mathscr{B}_{n}$ (as we mentioned under Preliminaries, such partition always exists). Obviously (2) also holds.

It remains to prove (3). Let $\mu$ be any finite Borcl measure on $X$. The step functions that take only finitely many values are dense in $L_{2}(X, \mu)$, see, c.g., [4]. Hence it suffices to show that for every Borel set $E \subseteq X$ and cach $\varepsilon>0$ there are $n \in \mathbb{A}$ and $g \in G_{n}$ with $\left\|\chi_{E}-g\right\|_{t_{2}} \leqq \varepsilon$.

Since $\mu$ is a regular measure, we can find an open set $G$ such that $E \subseteq G$ and $\mu(G \backslash E) \leqq \varepsilon^{2} / 4$. Next we define sets $F_{n}:=\bigcup_{B \in x_{n}, B \subseteq G} B$ and show that $G=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}$.

Given any $x \in G$ there is $\delta>0$ with $B(x, \delta) \subseteq G$, since $G$ is open. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d_{m}<\delta$. The point $x$ belongs to some set $B$ of the partition $\mathscr{S}_{m}$. Moreover, since $\mathscr{S}_{m}$ is finer than $\mathscr{\mathscr { A }}_{m}$, we have $\operatorname{diam}(B) \leqq d_{m}<\delta$. This implies $x \in B \subseteq B(x, \delta) \subseteq G$, and therefore $x \in F_{m}$. Consequently $G \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}$. The other inclusion is obvious.

The sets $F_{n}$ are increasing because the partitions $; \beta_{n}$ become finer with increasing $n$. This, together with the $\sigma$-additivity of $\mu$, gives

$$
\mu(G)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(F_{n}\right) .
$$

Hence we can select an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$
\mu\left(G \backslash F_{n}\right) \leqq \varepsilon^{2} / 4 .
$$

By definitions of $F_{n}$ and $G_{n}$, the function $g=\chi_{F_{n}}$ belongs to $G_{n}$. This finally yiclds

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\chi_{E}-g\right\|_{L_{2}} \leqq\left\|\chi_{E}-\chi_{G}:\right\|_{L_{2}}+\left\|\chi_{G i}-g\right\|_{L_{2}} \\
&=\mu(G \backslash E)^{1 / 2}+\mu\left(G \backslash F_{n}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (3) is satisfied as well, and the proof is finished.
After this preparation we can pass to our main result on the asymptotic eigenvalue behaviour of integral operators whose kernels are positive definite and satisfy an integrated $\alpha$-Hölder condition.

Thforem 2. Let $X$ be a compact metric space equipped with a finite Borel measure $\mu$, let $0<x \leqq 1$, and assume that $\varepsilon_{2 n}(X) \simeq \varepsilon_{n}(X)$. Then, for every positive definite kernel $K \in L_{1}\left(X, \mu ; C^{x}(X)\right)$, one has

$$
\lambda_{n}\left(T_{K, \mu}\right)=O\left(n^{-1} \varepsilon_{n}(X)^{x}\right)
$$

Proof. We shall write simply $T$ instead of $T_{\kappa, \mu}$ and $L_{2}$ instead of $L_{2}(X, \mu)$. We shall also use the notation introduced in Lemma 1.

Let $P_{n}$ be the orthogonal projection in $L_{2}$ onto the finite-dimensional subspace $G_{n}$ constructed in Lemma 1 . Since the $G_{n}$ 's are increasing and
their union is dense in $L_{2}$, we can find an orthonormal basis $\left(e_{i}\right)_{j \in \infty}$ such that

$$
G_{n}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{i_{n}}\right\}
$$

For any operator $S$ in $L_{2}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{trace}\left(S P_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{j_{n}}\left(S e_{i}, e_{i}\right)
$$

Moreover

$$
\operatorname{trace}(S)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(S e_{j}, e_{j}\right)
$$

provided the series converges. For positive $S$, all summands are nonnegative, so the convergence of the series is equivalent to

$$
\sup _{n} \sum_{j=1}^{j_{n}}\left(S e_{j}, e_{j}\right)=\sup _{n} \operatorname{trace}\left(S P_{n}\right)<\infty
$$

In this case,

$$
\operatorname{trace}(S)=\sup \operatorname{trace}\left(S P_{n}\right)
$$

Now let $Q$ be the orthogonal projection onto

$$
E:=\operatorname{span}\left\{\chi_{A}: A \in \mathscr{A}_{m}\right\},
$$

where $m$ is some fixed integer and $\mathscr{A}_{m}$ is the partition from Lemma 1 . We want to estimate from above

$$
\operatorname{trace}((I-Q) T(I-Q))
$$

Obviously $(I-Q) T(I-Q)$ is a positive operator in $L_{2}$, hence the preceding observaion applies. So, let us fix $n \geqq m$, and let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ and $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{r}$ be those sets having positive $\mu$-measure in $\mathscr{A}_{m}$ and $\mathscr{B}_{n}$, respectively. Then the functions

$$
f_{i}:=\mu\left(A_{i}\right)^{1 / 2} \chi_{A_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, k, \quad \text { and } \quad g_{j}:=\mu\left(B_{j}\right)^{-1 / 2} \chi_{B_{i}}, j=1, \ldots, r
$$

form orthonormal bases in $E$ and $G_{n}$, respectively. Moreover, we have $E \subseteq G_{n}$ because $\mathscr{R}_{n}$ is fincr than $\mathscr{A}_{m}$. Therefore

$$
Q P_{n}=P_{n} Q=Q .
$$

Observing that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}(t) \int_{x} f_{i}(y) d \mu(y)=1 \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{r} g_{j}(t) \int_{x} g_{j}(x) d \mu(x)=1 \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{trace}\left((I-Q) T(I-Q) P_{n}\right)= & \operatorname{trace}\left(T(I-Q) P_{n}(I-Q)\right) \\
= & \operatorname{trace}\left(T\left(P_{n}-Q\right)\right) \\
= & \operatorname{trace}\left(T P_{n}\right)-\operatorname{trace}(T Q) \\
= & \sum_{j-1}^{r} \int_{X} \int_{X} K(t, x) g_{j}(x) g_{j}(t) d \mu(x) d \mu(t) \\
& -\sum_{i-1}^{k} \int_{X} \int_{X} K(t, y) f_{i}(y) f_{i}(t) d \mu(y) d \mu(t) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \int_{X} \int_{X} \int_{X}[K(t, x)-K(t, y)] g_{j}(x) g_{j}(t) \\
& \times f_{i}(y) f_{i}(t) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) d \mu(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since every $B_{j}$ is contained in some $A_{i}$, we can split the index set $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ into disjoint subsets

$$
I_{i}=\left\{j: B_{j} \subseteq A_{i}\right\}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k
$$

For fixed $i$, the integrand is only non-zero when

$$
y, t \in A_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad x, t \in B_{j} \quad \text { for some } j \in I_{i} .
$$

But in this case, it holds $x \in A_{i}$ as well. Whence the assumption on the kernel implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
|K(t, x)-K(t, y)| & \leqq L(t) d(x, y)^{x} \\
& \leqq L(t)\left[\operatorname{diam}\left(A_{i}\right)\right]^{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some non-negative function $L \in L_{1}(X, \mu)$. By Lemma 1

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(A_{i}\right) \leqq d_{m}
$$

where $d_{m}>2 \varepsilon_{m}(X)$ can be chosen arbitrarily. In addition, the properties of the partitions of Lemma 1 give

$$
\mu\left(A_{i}\right)=\sum_{j \in I_{i}} \mu\left(B_{j}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, k
$$

and

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \bigcup_{j \in I_{i}} B_{j}=X
$$

Ali this together yiclds the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{trace}\left((I-Q) T(I-Q) P_{n}\right) \leqq & d_{m}^{x} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j \in I_{i}} \int_{B_{i}} \int_{A_{i}} \int_{B_{i}} L(t) d \mu(x) \\
& \times d \mu(y) d \mu(t) \mu\left(A_{i}\right)^{-1} \mu\left(B_{j}\right) \\
= & d_{m}^{x} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j \in I_{i}} \int_{B_{i}} L(t) d \mu(t) \\
= & d_{m}^{x} \mid L \|_{L_{i} ;}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, taking into account that

$$
\operatorname{rank}[T-(I-Q) T(I-Q)] \leqq 2 k \leqq 2 m,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{3 m}(T) & \leqq s_{m}((I-Q) T(I-Q))+s_{2 m+1}(T-(I-Q) T(I-Q)) \\
& =s_{m}((I-Q) T(I-Q))
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m s_{3 m}(T) & \leqq m s_{m}((I-Q) T(I-Q)) \\
& \leqq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{j}((I-Q) T(I-Q)) \\
& =\operatorname{trace}((I-Q) T(I-Q)) \\
& =\sup _{n} \operatorname{trace}\left((I-Q) T(I-Q) P_{n}\right) \leqq d_{m}^{x}\|L\|_{L_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $d_{m} \rightarrow 2 \varepsilon_{m}(X)$ and observing that $\dot{\lambda}_{3 m}(T)=s_{3 m}(T)$, since $T$ is a positive operator in $L_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\lambda_{3 m}(T) \leqq m^{-1} 2^{x} \varepsilon_{m}(X)^{x}{ }_{\|} \mid L \|_{L_{1}} .
$$

Finally, the assumption $\varepsilon_{2 n}(X) \simeq \varepsilon_{n}(X)$ yields the desired asymptotic behaviour

$$
i_{n}(T)=O\left(n^{-1} \varepsilon_{n}(X)^{\alpha}\right)
$$

Remark 3. The assumption $\varepsilon_{2 n}(X) \simeq \varepsilon_{n}(X)$ excludes, roughly speaking, too fast decay of the entropy numbers of $X$. This condition is not very restrictive; e.g., it is satisfied for every connected compact metric space (see [5, Lemma 3]), or if $\varepsilon_{n}(X) \simeq n^{-\beta}(\log n)^{\gamma}$ for some $\beta>0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. In the last section of the paper we give examples of such compact metric spaces which are totally disconnected.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we have note used the compactness of $X$ but only the behaviour of its entropy numbers. Therefore, since the entropy numbers of any bounded Borel set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with non-empty interior are of the same asymptotic order as those of the unit cube $[0,1]^{N}$, i.e., $\varepsilon_{n}(\Omega) \simeq n^{-1 / N}$, we derive as an immediate consequence

Thforem 4. Let $\mu$ be a Borel measure on a bounded Borel set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with non-empty interior and let $K \in L_{1}\left(\Omega, \mu ; C^{x}(\Omega)\right)$ be a positive definite kernel. Then

$$
i_{n}\left(T_{K, \mu}\right)=O\left(n^{\cdot x_{i} N \cdots 1}\right)
$$

This theorem extends Reade's result mentioned in the Introduction to multidimensional domains and arbitrary Borel measures. Note that global continuity of the kernel is not required, although this extra assumption was necessary for Reade's original proof [9] (and also for the Cochran and lukas one [3]).

## 3. Optimality of the Eigenvalue Estimates

In this section we comment on the sharpness of the eigenvalue results established in Theorems 2 and 4.
The following theorem shows that the asymptotic order of the eigenvalues established in Theorem 2 is the best possible.

Theorem 5. Let $X$ be a compact metric space satisfying $\varepsilon_{2 n}(X) \simeq \varepsilon_{n}(X)$, and let $0<x \leqq 1$. Then for every sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ of positive real numbers with $a_{n}=o\left(n^{-1} \varepsilon_{n}(X)^{\alpha}\right)$ there are a finite Borel measure $\mu$ on $X$ and a positive definite kernel $K \in L_{1}\left(X, \mu ; C^{x}(X)\right)$ such that

$$
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}\left(T_{K, \mu}\right) / a_{n}=\infty
$$

Proof. First we show that $\left(a_{n}\right)$ can be majorized by a sequence $\left(A_{n}\right)$ such that still $A_{n}=o\left(n^{-1} \varepsilon_{n}(X)^{x}\right)$ and moreover $A_{2 n} \simeq A_{n}$. This latter property is essential for our proof.

By assumption

$$
a_{n} \leqq c_{n} n^{-1} \varepsilon_{n}(X)^{x} \quad \text { with } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}=0
$$

Defining

$$
C_{1}:=\sup _{k \geqq!} c_{k}, \quad C_{2 n}=C_{2 n+1}:=\max \left(\frac{C_{n}}{2}, \sup _{k \geqq 2 n} c_{k}\right) \quad \text { for } n \geqq 1
$$

we obtain a sequence which clearly satisfies

$$
c_{n} \leqq C_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{2 n} \geqq C_{n} / 2, n=1,2, \ldots
$$

By induction one easily verifies that $\left(C_{n}\right)$ is decreasing. Hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n}$ exists, and the estimate

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{2 n} \leqq \frac{1}{2} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n}+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{k \geqq 2 n} c_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{n}
$$

shows that this limit is zero. Then taking

$$
A_{n}:=C_{n} n^{1} \varepsilon_{n}(X)^{x}
$$

we get the desired sequence. Obviously $A_{n} \geqq a_{n}$ and $A_{n}=o\left(n^{-1} \varepsilon_{n}(X)^{\gamma}\right)$. Moreover $A_{2 n} \simeq A_{n}$ because the sequences $\left(C_{n}\right),\left(n^{1}\right)$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{n}(X)\right)$ enjoy this property.

So without loss of generality we may assume that $a_{2 n} \simeq a_{n}$. Put $b_{n}:=\left(n a_{n}\right)^{1: x}$. Then one has

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n}(X) / b_{n}=x \quad \text { and } \quad b_{2 n} \simeq b_{n}
$$

The following construction is based on ideas taken from [5, Theorem 3]. For $k=1,2, \ldots$, we find inductively positive integers $n_{k}$, real numbers $\varepsilon_{k}$, $0<\varepsilon_{k}<1$, and subsets $X_{k}, Y_{k}$ of $X$ with the following properties:
(1) $n_{k}>n_{k-1}$ and $\varepsilon_{k} \quad 1 \geqq \varepsilon_{k} \geqq k^{5: x} b_{n_{k}}$;
(2) $X_{k}$ and $Y_{k}$ are closed subsets of $Y_{k-1}$;
(3) $X_{k}$ is a $2 \varepsilon_{k}$-distant subset consisting of $n_{k}$ elements;
(4) $d\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right) \geqq \varepsilon_{k}$;
(5) $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n}\left(Y_{k}\right) / b_{n}=\infty$.

To prove this, assume that for $1 \leqq j<k$ we have already found $n_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}, X_{i}$,
and $Y_{j}$ with properties (1) to (5). (In the first step of induction we can argue in the same way but starting with $X$ instead of $Y_{k-1}$.) Using (5) and the fact that $b_{2 n} \simeq b_{n}$, we can find an integer $n_{k}>n_{k-1}$ such that

$$
k^{5 / x} b_{n_{k}} \leqq \varepsilon_{k}:=\varepsilon_{2 n_{k}}\left(Y_{k-1}\right) / 3
$$

Moreover, compactness of $Y_{k-1}$ implies that its entropy numbers tend to zero. Hence we may also assume that $\varepsilon_{k} \leqq \varepsilon_{k-1}$. Thus (1) is satisfied. Let now $M$ be a maximal $2 \varepsilon_{k}$-distant subset of $Y_{k \cdots 1}$. Then

$$
Y_{k-1} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in M} B\left(x, 2 \varepsilon_{k}\right)
$$

and since $2 \varepsilon_{k}<\varepsilon_{2 n_{k}}\left(Y_{k .1}\right)$, it also follows that $\operatorname{card}(M) \geqq 2 n_{k}$. So we can select two disjoint subsets $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ of $M$, each one containing $n_{k}$ elements. The sets

$$
Z_{i}:=\bigcup_{x \in M_{i}} B\left(x, \varepsilon_{k}\right), \quad i=1,2
$$

are disjoint as well. Whence

$$
Y_{k-1}=\left(Y_{k-1} \backslash Z_{1}\right) \cup\left(Y_{k-1} \backslash Z_{2}\right) .
$$

Using again (5), the trivial observation

$$
\varepsilon_{2 n}\left(Y_{k-1}\right) \leqq \max _{i=1,2} \varepsilon_{n}\left(Y_{k-1} \backslash Z_{i}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and the fact that $b_{2 n} \simeq b_{n}$, we obtain that for at least one $i$, say $i=1$,

$$
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n}\left(Y_{k-1} \backslash Z_{1}\right) / b_{n}=\infty
$$

still holds. Consequently, if we set

$$
X_{k}:=M_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{k}:=Y_{k-1} \backslash Z_{1}
$$

the conditions (2) to (5) are satisfied.
Next we construct the measure $\mu$ and the kernel $K$.
Put

$$
X_{k}=\left\{x_{k, j}: j=1, \ldots, n_{k}\right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and define $\mu$ as the point measure assigning to $x_{k, j}, j=1, \ldots, n_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, the mass $k^{-2} n_{k}^{-1}$. Clearly $\mu$ is a finite Borel measure on $X$.

In order to construct the kernel, consider the functions (see [5])

$$
f_{k, j}(x):=\left[\max \left(0,1-d\left(x, x_{k, j}\right) / \varepsilon_{k}\right)\right]^{x}, \quad x \in X
$$

Elementary computations show that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any sequence of scalars $\left(\xi_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{n_{k}}$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} \xi_{i} f_{k, j} \|_{c^{\prime}}^{\mid} \leqq 2 \varepsilon_{k}^{-\alpha} \max _{i \leqq j \leq m}\left|\xi_{j}\right| . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define now

$$
K(x, y):=\sum_{k=1}^{x} k^{-2} \varepsilon_{k}^{x} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} f_{k, j}(x) f_{k, j}(y) .
$$

For each $x \in X$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows from (*) that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} f_{k, j}(x) f_{k, j} \leqq 2 \varepsilon_{k}^{x} \max _{1 \leqq j \leqq n_{k}}\left|f_{k, j}(x)\right| \leqq 2 \varepsilon_{k}^{-x} .
$$

Thus, for cvery $x \in X$, we get

$$
\|K(x, \cdot)\|_{c^{-x}} \leqq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2}=c<\infty
$$

and consequently

$$
\|K\|_{L_{1}\left(c^{x}\right)}=\int_{X}\|K(x, \cdot)\|_{c^{x}} d \mu(x) \leqq c \mu(X)<x
$$

Moreover, $K$ is positive definite because, given any $f \in L_{2}(X, \mu)$, we have

$$
\left(T_{K, \mu} f, f\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-2} \varepsilon_{k}^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}}\left|\left(f, f_{k, j}\right)\right|^{2} \geqq 0
$$

To complete the proof, we need to show that

$$
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}\left(T_{\kappa, \mu}\right) / a_{n}=\infty
$$

According to the definition of $f_{k, j}$, we see that

$$
f_{k, j}\left(x_{r, s}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } k=r \text { and } j=s \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Hence, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j=1, \ldots, n_{k}$, we have

$$
T_{K, \mu} f_{k, j}=k^{-4} n_{k}^{1} \varepsilon_{k}^{x} f_{k, j}
$$

Whence, using (1) and recalling that $b_{n}=\left(n a_{n}\right)^{1 / \alpha}$, we arrive at the inequality

$$
\lambda_{n_{k}}\left(T_{K, \mu}\right) \geqq k^{-4} n_{k} \cdot \varepsilon_{k}^{-1} \geqq \geqq k n_{k}^{-1} b_{n_{k}}^{\alpha}=k a_{n_{k}} .
$$

Since this estimate holds for the strictly increasing sequence ( $n_{k}$ ), we finally obtain the desired assertion

$$
\overline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty} i_{n}\left(T_{K, \mu}\right) / a_{n}=\infty
$$

Theorem 5 also implies that the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues established in Theorem 4 is the best possible. In fact, for the case of bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, the optimality even holds in a stronger sense, namely without constructing a suitable measure but using the most natural measure on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N}}$, i.e., the Lebesgue measure.

Indecd, consider the $N$-dimensional unit cube $[0,1]^{N}$ equipped with the Lebesgue measure $\mu$. It was proved in [7, Theorem 5] that, given any $0<x \leqq 1$, there exists a positive definite continuous kernel $K$ such that

$$
\sup _{\substack{t, x, y \in x \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|K(t, x)-K(t, y)|}{d(x, y)^{x}}<\infty \quad\left[\text { therefore } K \in L_{1}\left([0,1]^{N} ; C^{x}\left([0,1]^{N}\right)\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
i_{n}\left(T_{K, \mu}\right) \simeq n^{-\alpha ; N}
$$

Whence the eigenvalue estimate cannot be improved.

## 4. Examples of Compact Metric Spaces with Regllar Entropy Behaviolr

We have already mentioned in Remark 3 that the condition $\varepsilon_{2 n}(X) \simeq$ $\varepsilon_{n}(X)$ which we need for our results, is satisfied for every connected compact metric space, or if $\varepsilon_{n}(X) \simeq n^{-\beta}(\log n)^{7}$. In this final section we give fairly easy examples of totally disconnected compact metric spaces $X$ such that

$$
\varepsilon_{n}(X) \simeq n^{\cdot \beta}(\log n)^{2}
$$

for given $\beta>0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, or $\beta=0$ and $\gamma<0$. Similar examples can be found in [6], but only for a very special choice of $\beta$ and $\gamma$.

First we describe a construction which is quite common in geometric measure theory and in the theory of fractals. The basic model of this construction is the Cantor set.

Let $q=\left(q_{n}\right)$ be any sequence of positive real numbers such that for some $r>0$

$$
r \leqq q_{n} \leqq 1 / 3, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Start with the unit interval $[0,1]$ and remove in the first step an open interval, such that two closed intervals of length $q_{1}$ remain. Then proceed inductively, but at the $n$th step take the ratio $q_{n}$.

So, after $n$ steps, one has $2^{n}$ closed intervals $I_{n, j}, 1 \leqq j \leqq 2^{n}$, of length $q_{1} \cdots q_{n}$ with mutual distancc $\geqq q_{1} \cdots q_{n}$ (since all $q_{n} \leqq 1 / 3$ ). Put

$$
E_{n}:=\bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{n}} I_{n, 1} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{: ., 4}:=\bigcap_{n-1}^{x} E_{n} .
$$

Note that never endpoints of intervals are removed. Therefore $C_{1.4}$ contains $2^{n+1}$ points (namely the endpoints of the $2^{n}$ intervals $I_{n, j}$ ) which have mutual distance $\geqq q_{1} \cdots q_{n}$. Moreover, the $2^{n+1}$ (closed) balls centered at these points with radius $q_{1} \cdots q_{n} / 2$ cover $E_{n}$ and hence also $C_{1,4}$.

Carrying out this construction in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ (with the sup-metric), i.e., setting

$$
C_{N, q}:=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{E_{n} \times \cdots \times E_{n}}_{.- \text {times }}
$$

we get $2^{(n+1) N}$ points with the same properties as above. Whence,

$$
\frac{q_{1} \cdots q_{n}}{2} \leqq \varepsilon_{2^{n *}}\left(C_{N, q}\right) \leqq \frac{q_{1} \cdots q_{n-1}}{2} \leqq \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{q_{1} \cdots q_{n}}{2}
$$

that means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{2^{n},}\left(C_{N ; q}\right) \simeq q_{1} \cdots q_{n} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $\left(a_{n}\right)$ be any sequence of positive real numbers such that for $n>n_{0}$ it holds

$$
a \leqq a_{k n} i a_{n} \leqq A \quad \text { and } \quad a_{n+1} \leqq a_{n}
$$

where $0<a<A<1$ are constants and $k \geqq 2$ is some integer. This implies that for appropriate $r>0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
r \leqq \frac{a_{2^{n \cdot x}}}{a_{2^{(n-1):}}} \leqq 1 / 3 \quad \text { for all } \quad n>n_{0}
$$

Let $q=\left(q_{n}\right)$ be the sequence defined by

$$
q_{1}=\cdots=q_{n_{0}}=1 / 3 \quad \text { and } \quad q_{n}=\frac{a_{2^{n} \cdot}}{a_{2^{(n \cdot 1)^{N}}}} \quad \text { for } \quad n>n_{0}
$$

and let $C_{N, q}$ be the $N$-dimensional set of Cantor type associated to $q$. Then it follows from $\binom{*}{*}$ that

$$
\varepsilon_{2^{n v}}\left(C_{N \cdot q}\right) \simeq q_{1} \cdots q_{n}=(1 / 3)^{n_{0}} \frac{a_{2^{n \cdot v}}}{a_{2^{n_{0} N}}} \simeq a_{2^{n N}}
$$

and consequently

$$
\varepsilon_{n}\left(C_{N, q}\right) \simeq a_{n}
$$

Clearly, given any $\beta>0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, the sequence $\left(n^{\beta}(\log n)^{\gamma}\right)$ satisfies the properties required on $\left(a_{n}\right)$. So we have in particular

Proposition 6. Let $\beta>0$ and $-\infty<\gamma<\infty$. Then there exists a set of Cantor type $C_{N . g}$ such that

$$
\varepsilon_{n}\left(C_{N, 4}\right) \simeq n^{\sim \beta}(\log n)^{y},
$$

Note that this result still holds for the more general class of sequences

$$
\left(n^{-\beta}(\log n)^{\gamma}(\log \log n)^{\delta}\right)
$$

and one can even add more iterated logarithms.
Finally, we discuss the case $\beta=0$. This time our example is similar to the Hilbert cube.

Proposition 7. Given any $\gamma>0$, let

$$
X_{y}=\left\{\xi=\left(\xi_{k}\right) \in l_{\infty}:\left|\xi_{k}\right| \leqq k^{-\gamma}\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\varepsilon_{n}\left(X_{\gamma}\right) \simeq(\log n)^{-\gamma} .
$$

Proof. In order to produce a $2 n^{-\gamma}$-distant set in $X_{\eta}$, choose for each $k$, $1 \leqq k \leqq n$, a $2 n^{-\gamma}$-distant set $\left\{\xi_{j}^{(k)}\right\}_{j=1}^{m_{k}}$ in $\left[-k^{-\gamma}, k^{\gamma}\right]$ such that $m_{k} \geqq(n / k)^{\gamma}$. Clearly the set

$$
M=\left\{\xi=\left(\xi_{j_{1}}^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi_{j_{n}}^{(n)}, 0,0, \ldots\right): 1 \leqq j_{k} \leqq m_{k}\right\}
$$

is $2 n^{-i}$-distant in $X_{\gamma}$. Moreover, for $n$ sufficiently large, we have

$$
\operatorname{card}(M)=\prod_{k-1}^{n} m_{k} \geqq\left(n^{n} / n!\right)^{\prime} \geqq 2^{n_{j}}
$$

Hence, if $2^{(n-1) i} \leqq m<2^{n ;}$, it is not possible to cover $X_{;}$; by $m$ balls of radius $n^{7}$, and therefore

$$
\varepsilon_{m}\left(X_{\gamma}\right) \geqq n^{-\gamma} \simeq(\log m)^{-\gamma}
$$

This gives the lower estimate. The upper one can be established with similar arguments.
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